

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,560	07/09/2003	Mark Ledeboer	VIP/02-06 US	2794
27916 7590 05/01/2007 VERTEX PHARMACEUTICALS INC.		;	EXAMINER	
130 WAVERLY STREET	,	RAO, DEEPAK R		
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139-4242		! !	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		!	1624	
		•		
		1	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/616,560	LEDEBOER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Deepak Rao	1624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 August 2006.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
4)					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	,			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/616,560

Art Unit: 1624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to the amendment filed on August 10, 2006. Claims 1-4, 12-14, 18-20, 23 and 36-37 are pending in this application.

## Withdrawn Rejections/Objections:

Applicant is notified that any outstanding rejection/objection that is not expressly maintained in this office action has been withdrawn or rendered moot in view of applicant's amendments and/or remarks.

## The following rejections are maintained:

Claims 1-2, 19-20, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hongu et al., WO 02/083111. The reasons provided in the previous office action are incorporated here by reference. The instant compounds have the 4-position of the pyrimidine as the point of attachment to the imidazolyl whereas the reference compound 100 is attached at the 5-position of the pyrimidine and therefore, the instantly claimed compounds are structural analogs or positional isomers of the reference compounds. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to prepare the instantly claimed compounds because they are positional isomers of the reference compounds. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to prepare the instantly claimed compounds

Application/Control Number: 10/616,560

Art Unit: 1624

because such isomeric compounds are suggestive of one another and would be expected to share similar properties and therefore, the same use as taught for the reference compounds, i.e., as pharmaceutical agents. It has been held that a compound, which is structurally isomeric with a compound of prior art is prima facie obvious absent unexpected results.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they were not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant argues that 'the reference teaches that the reference compounds could be used as large conductance calcium-activated potassium channel openers, which is different and distinct property than those disclosed for the instant compounds'. This is not persuasive because the reference teaches a use for the compounds (as therapeutic agents in the treatment of hypertension, etc.), which is sufficient to one of ordinary skill to make the claimed compounds because similar properties are normally presumed when compounds are very close in structure. There is nothing on the record to show that the reference compounds do not possess the activity of the instant compounds. Applicants must prove that their compounds possess a property that the prior art compounds do not possess. If the prior art compound does in fact possess a particular benefit, even though the benefit is not recognized in the prior art, applicant's recognition of the benefit is not in itself sufficient to distinguish the claimed compounds from the prior art. The discovery of additional use not disclosed in the reference does not make otherwise obvious compounds unobvious. See *In re Best*, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977).

It is maintained that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the structurally analogous compounds (e.g., positional isomers), with the reasonable expectation that such structurally analogous compounds would have similar properties and therefore, the same use as taught for the reference compounds, in the absence of a showing to the contrary.

The following rejections are necessitated by the amendment:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4, 12-14, 18-20, 23 and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "provided that when .... (ii) A is sulfur" in page 5, line 16. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. According to currently amended claim 1, the definition of the variable A does not include the term 'sulfur'.

### **Duplicate Claims**

Applicant is advised that should claim 1 be found allowable, claim 2 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). Claim 2 does not further limit claim 1, i.e., the structural formula IIa in claim 2 is identical to the structural formula I in claim 1 wherein W is nitrogen and A is  $-N-T_{(n)}-R$ .

Application/Control Number: 10/616,560

Art Unit: 1624

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deepak Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-0672. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 1624

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Deepak Rao

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

April 27, 2007